

# SUPPLIMENT

---

THE HONORABLE CLERK OF COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
FILED

JUN 19 2015

David J. Bradley, Clerk of Court

5-22-15

COVER

TO: ADMINISTRATOR OF THE OFFENDER  
GRIEVANCE PROGRAM. HUNTSVILLE, TX.

FROM: SCOTT HESS. INMATE #1841004  
MICHAEL UNIT. TENNESSEE COLONY, TX.

RE: PROBLEMS WITH LOCAL GRIEVANCE  
OFFICE.

DEAR SIR,

I WAS JUST ABOUT TO FILE MY "1983"  
LAWSUIT AGAINST T.D.C.J. ON OR AFTER  
5-25-15... WHEN SOMETHING STRANGE  
HAPPENED. AFTER MY STEP ONE GRIEVANCE  
WAS IGNORED - THEN THE GRIEVANCE OFFICE  
HERE SAID THEY NEVER RECEIVED MY STEP ONE...  
I RECEIVED A COPY OF MY STEP 2 GRIEVANCE.  
THE ATTACHMENT CLAIMS THAT I DID IN  
FACT SEND MY STEP ONE, AND IT WAS  
RECEIVED AND RETURNED TO ME UNPROCESSED.  
THIS IS NOT TRUE SIR.

I'M DONE DEALING WITH THIS GRIEVANCE  
OFFICE HERE. THEY ALSO GAVE ME THE  
RUN AROUND WHEN I FILED MY FIRST  
STEP ONE RELATED TO THIS SAME ISSUE.  
HOWEVER NOW MY GRIEVANCE IS AGAINST  
CRIME STOPPERS BEHIND WALLS AND O.I.G.  
PLEASE SEE MY STATEMENT AND THE  
SUPPLEMENT AND THE ATTACHMENTS. THANK YOU.

- RESPECTFULLY - SCOTT HESS

S-15-15

# STATEMENT

SINCE 2013 - I HAVE BEEN RECEIVING SEVERAL FALSIFIED DOCUMENTS FROM THE 197<sup>TH</sup> DISTRICT COURT IN BROWNSVILLE, TX.; THE D.A.'S OFFICE THERE; THE ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE AND THE U.S. DISTRICT COURTS IN BROWNSVILLE AND CORPUS CHRISTIE. AND I'VE BEEN DESPERATELY TRYING TO REPORT THESE OCCASIONS TO THE PROPER LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES FROM INSIDE PRISON.

GRANTED, I'M A PSYCHE PATIENT RECOVERING FROM A CASE OF MALPRACTICE BY A PSYCHE PROVIDER WHILE IN PRISON. AND I DO HAVE A LAWSUITE PENDING IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN GALVESTON AGAINST THIS "PHYSICIAN'S ASSISTANT" WHO WITHHELD MY LONG TIME PSYCOTROPIC MEDICINE. BUT I HAVE RECOVERED ENOUGH TO TAKE CARE OF MY LEGAL MATTERS COMPETANTLY.

DURING THIS TIME, DEC. 2013 UNTILL NOW, I'VE BEEN TERRIBLY UPSET WITH ALL THIS ABUSE FROM THE COURTS AND THE ATTORNEYS INVOLVED... AS WELL AS MY INABILITY TO REPORT THESE CRIMES FROM INSIDE PRISON. THIS IS PROPERLY TERMED "ONGOING ABUSE OF A DISABLED PERSON" AND BECAUSE I'M

(2)

5-15-15

OVER 50 YEARS OLD, IT IS ALSO A CASE OF "ONGOING ABUSE OF AN ELDERLY PERSON" BY DEFINITION! MY NERVES ARE "SHOT" AND I CAN'T SLEEP AT NIGHT. IT IS ONLY BY THE GRACE OF GOD I AM ABLE TO COPE WITH THIS ABUSE, ESPECIALLY IN A PRISON SETTING.

I STARTED TO REPORT THIS ABUSE TO PRISON OFFICIALS RIGHT AWAY - BY UTILIZING THE "CHAIN OF COMMAND". BUT MY CRIES AND WRITTEN PETITIONS HAVE ALL BEEN IGNORED. I'VE TRIED TO REPORT THIS PROPERLY - EVEN TO UNIT MAJORS AND WARDENS TO NO AVAIL.

FINALLY I FILED A GRIEVANCE AS SUGGESTED BY SGT. COUCH IN SEPTEMBER OF 2014. (SEE ATTACHMENT 'A'). I FELT THAT AT THAT TIME - MY RECOVERY FROM MY EARLIER HOSPITALIZATION (MENTAL) HAD COME ALONG WELL ENOUGH TO SEEK A MORE SERIOUS AVENUE TO REPORT THIS "ONGOING ABUSE". AND STILL - ALL I AM GETTING IS THE PREVERBIAL "RUN AROUND". I HAVE HAD ENOUGH !!!

DURING MY HOSPITALIZATION AT THE JESTER IV UNIT IN RICHMOND, TX. - ~~TO BE DELETED~~ (12/13 TO 2/14)... I EVEN REPORTED THESE INFRACTIONS TO MY COUNSELOR, A STAFF

(3)

5-15-15

PSYCHOLOGIST - DR. KLINE - DURING SESSIONS OF GROUP THERAPY, AND IN WRITING SHE IS THEN REQUIRED BY LAW TO PROPERLY REPORT THIS ABUSE PROPERLY AND IN A TIMELY FASHION. THEN I REPORTED THE FALSIFIED LEGAL DOCUMENTS TO MY PSYCHIATRIST THERE - DR. GUMMITTIRA. SHE WOULD NOT TAKE MY REPORT - AND SHE REBUKED ME FOR TRYING TO INVOLVE DR. KLINE. EVENTUALLY THOUGH, DR. KLINE DID GIVE ME AN ADDRESS TO "CRIME STOPPERS BEHIND WALLS" - A T.D.C.J. DEPARTMENT IN HUNTSVILLE, TX. AND SHE ADVISED ME TO REPORT THIS ABUSE ON MY OWN - THAT WAY. I HELD ON TO THE ADDRESS UNTILL I COULD REPORT THIS TO "CRIME STOPPERS" IN CREDIBLE FASHION (WITH REGARD TO MY MENTAL STATE) AND, OF COURSE, I HAD TO OBTAIN THE NECESSARY PHOTO-COPIES TO MAKE MY REPORT. (IT IS AGAINST T.D.C.J. POLICY TO ALLOW INMATES THE PRIVILEGE OF MAKING PHOTO-COPIES) IT TOOK SEVERAL MONTHS TO UTILIZE MY CHURCH FAMILY AND GET HELP MAKING THE NECESSARY COPIES.

AFTER GETTING THE "RUN AROUND" WITH MY EARLIER GRIEVANCE - I SENT MY, VERY ORGANIZED LEGAL FILE TO "CRIME STOPPERS" IN HUNTSVILLE. SURPRISINGLY

(4)

S-15-15

THEY RETURNED MY LEGAL FILE - TELLING ME THAT THIS WAS NOT THEIR CONCERN. AND TO MAKE MY REPORT WITH THE "OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL" (FORMERLY "I.A.D." OF T.D.CJ) - OR THROUGH THE GRIEVANCE PROCESS. (SEE ATTACHMENT 'B'). SO... THIS MEANS THAT EITHER DR. KLINE GAVE ME BAD ADVICE - OR "CRIME STOPPERS" WAS SUPPOSED TO TAKE MY REPORT. ONE OR THE OTHER!

I MADE SIMILAR COMPLAINTS TO THE PSYCHE. CARE OFFICIALS AT THE GARZA FACILITIES IN BEEVILLE, TX. - MR. SHALES AND MS. NOBLE - THE DIRECTOR OF THE PSYCHE. DEPARTMENT THERE. AND I IMAGINE A REPORT WAS MADE TO "O.I.G." - AND LIKEWISE HERE AT THE MICHAEL UNIT. I REPORTED ALL THIS ABUSE TO MY PSYCHOLOGIST MS. JOHNSTON AND THE HEAD OF THE PSYCHE. DEPT. HERE - MR. CHRIS. BOTH TOLD ME THAT THEY WOULD OR DID REPORT THIS ONGOING ABUSE TO THE "O.I.G." OFFICERS HERE ON THIS UNIT. I HAVEN'T HEARD ANYTHING FROM THESE OFFICERS - AND MY WRITTEN PETITIONS TO THEM HAVE BEEN IGNORED! I EVEN WROTE "MAJOR FUNAI" AND THEN THE NEW MAJOR - "MAJ. FITZPATRICK". AND THE NEW SENIOR



(5)

5-15-15

WARDEN, "WARDEN BAKER"... AND HAVE  
RECIEVED NO RESPONSE!

ALL THIS TIME I HAVE BEEN  
FOLLOWING THE ADVICE OF A "LEUTENANT  
PURVIS" WHOME I HAVE CONFIDED IN...  
(HERE AT THE MICHAEL UNIT.)

FINALLY I SENT A COPY OF MY LEGAL  
FILE TO THE "O.I.G." OFFICE IN HUNTSVILLE -  
AND I DID GET A WRITTEN RESPONSE -  
STATING THAT IT IS NOT THE CONCERN OF  
THEIR OFFICE EITHER. (SEE ATTACHMENT 'C')  
SO... WITHIN THE 15 DAYS ALLOWED AFTER  
I RECIEVED THE RESPONSE FROM "O.I.G." -  
I DID FILE A "STEP ONE" GRIEVANCE ON  
3-1-15 AGAINST CRIMESTOPPERS AND "O.I.G." TO  
MS. KIRPATRICK THE GRIEVANCE OFFICER  
WHO GAVE ME THE RUN AROUND LAST  
SEPTEMBER.

MY "STEP ONE" GRIEVANCE WAS  
IGNORED! THEN I FOUND THE CASE-  
LAW ~~THE~~ THAT GAVE ME THE NEEDED  
ADVICE ON WHAT TO DO... (SEE  
ATTACHMENT 'D')

I THEN FILED MY "STEP TWO" GRIEVANCE  
ON 4/20/15 (AS ADVISED)... AND EVEN  
FOLLOWED UP WITH A PROPER LEGAL LETTER

⑥

5-15-15

TO MS. KIRPATRICK (THE GRIEVANCE OFFICER)  
(SEE ATTACHMENT 'E')

BOTH OF THESE GRIEVANCES WERE  
IGNORED! SO I AM NOW FOLLOWING THE  
PROCEDURE AND FILING A "1983" LAWSUITE  
AGAINST T.D.C.J. AT THE U.S. DISTRICT  
COURT IN HOUSTON, AND HOPEFULLY GET  
THESE, OBVIOUSLY, FALSIFIED DOCUMENTS  
REPORTED TO THE PROPER AUTHORITIES.

IT IS A FELONY TO NOT REPORT A KNOWN  
FELONY... AND LIKEWISE A FEDERAL  
OFFENSE!

MY FILE CAN BE FOUND ON THE COMPUTER -  
WITH MY CIVIL CASE (PENDING) - MY HABIAS  
DEFENSE UNDER SECTION 2254 - AT THE U.S.  
DISTRICT COURT IN BROWNSVILLE UNDER CASE  
# 1:13-cv-190. SEE THE DOCKET SHEET...  
ITEM 59 AND 64. ~~ALSO~~ (ALSO SEE ITEM 'E'  
ATTACHED) THANK YOU. RESPECTFULLY

Scot W. Hess

"INMATE DECLARATION" - I SCOT W. HESS  
DO SWEAR THAT THIS IS A TRUE AND  
CORRECT ACCOUNT OF FACTS AND EVENTS.  
SIGNED THIS 15<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MAY, 2015.

Scot W. Hess



A



## Texas Department of Criminal Justice

## STEP 1

OFFENDER  
GRIEVANCE FORM

## OFFICE USE ONLY

Grievance #: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Received: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Due: \_\_\_\_\_

Grievance Code: \_\_\_\_\_

Investigator ID #: \_\_\_\_\_

Extension Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Retd to Offender: \_\_\_\_\_

Offender Name: SCOTT HESS TDCJ# 1841004Unit: MICHAEL Housing Assignment: A-62BUnit where incident occurred: ~~GARZA~~ MICHAEL

You must try to resolve your problem with a staff member before you submit a formal complaint. The only exception is when appealing the results of a disciplinary hearing.

Who did you talk to (name, title)? SGT. COUCH When? 8-30-14What was their response? HE TOLD ME TO SEND I-60 TO O.I.G AGAINWhat action was taken? NONE -

State your grievance in the space provided. Please state who, what, when, where and the disciplinary case number if appropriate

I AM A VICTIM OF SEVERAL CRIMES AGAINST ME AND I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO REPORT THESE CRIMES FROM INSIDE PRISON SINCE DECEMBER OF LAST YEAR. AND I HAVE HAD NO LUCK AT ALL!

I'M TRYING TO REPORT SOME FALSE AND ~~FO~~ FALSIFIED RECORDS THAT THE COURT HAS BEEN SENDING ME. THIS IS AN ONGOING MATTER. "ONGOING ~~AB~~ ABUSE OF A DISABLED PERSON" - ME!

I HAVE BEEN SENDING I-60'S AND GRIEVANCE FORMS FROM GARZA EAST AND WEST... AND DURING A BRIEF STAY AT JESTER-4 UNIT. NO RESPONSES!

UPON MY ARRIVAL HERE TO MICHAEL UNIT I SAW THE HEAD OF THE PSYCHE DEPARTMENT MR. CHRIS. I MADE A VERBAL REPORT TO HIM AND HE INFORMED ME THAT HE WAS GOING TO REPORT THE FALSE COURT PAPERS THAT I'VE BEEN RECIEVING TO THE O.I.G. OFFICER HERE. I HAVE SENT 2 OR 3 MORE I-60'S TO O.I.G. - AND MR CAMPOS - THIS IS WHAT THE WARDEN TOLD ME TO DO LAST



## Texas Department of Criminal Justice

## STEP 2

OFFENDER  
GRIEVANCE FORM

Offender Name: SCOTT HESS TDCJ# \_\_\_\_\_  
 Unit: MICHAEL Housing Assignment: A 62 B  
 Unit where incident occurred: MICHAEL

## OFFICE USE ONLY

Grievance #: \_\_\_\_\_  
 UGI Recd Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 HQ Recd Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date Due: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Grievance Code: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Investigator ID#: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Extension Date: \_\_\_\_\_

You must attach the completed Step 1 Grievance that has been signed by the Warden for your Step 2 appeal to be accepted. You may not appeal to Step 2 with a Step 1 that has been returned unprocessed.

Give reason for appeal (Be Specific). I am dissatisfied with the response at Step 1 because...

MY STEP ONE GRIEVANCE WAS COMPREHENSIBLE. MY STATEMENTS ARE PLAIN AND SIMPLE. IT IS ILLEGAL TO FALSIFY COURT DOCUMENTS AND COURT RECORDS. I HAVE MORE THAN SEVERAL DOCUMENTS FROM THE 197<sup>TH</sup> DISTRICT COURT, THE COURT OF APPEALS AND THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT THAT CANNOT BE TRUE BECAUSE THE RECORDS CONTRADICT EACH OTHER. I HAVE FOUR DIFFERENT SETS OF DOCUMENTS THAT TELL FOUR DIFFERENT STORIES OF THE SAME COURT RECORDS. I HAVE ALSO FALSIFIED DOCUMENTS THAT CAN OBVIOUSLY BE PROVEN FALSE... A JUDGE OR COURT CLERKS CONTINUE TO SEND CONTRADICTORY INFORMATION. THIS HAS BEEN—AND IS EXTREMELY DISHEARTENING FOR ME TO BEAR—I WANT TO REPORT THESE PHONEY DOCUMENTS TO LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS—AND I CANT GET ANY CO-OPERATION. MY COURT RECORDS THAT I HAVE IN MY POSSESSION MUST BE REVIEWED BY

SEP 15 2014

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE  
Inter-Office Communication  
Administrative Review and Risk Management  
Offender Grievance

NOV 19 2014

TO: Hess, Scott  
TDCJ# 1841004  
Unit: MI

19471

Subject: Correspondence

ISG RE#: 2015003705

Your documents received in this office have been reviewed and a response is indicated below. Contact the warden, major, chief of classification or a security officer for issues you deem an emergency. **If you need additional information or assistance, you may contact the Unit Grievance Investigator at your unit.**

- ☒ Please utilize the Offender Grievance Procedure to address your concerns.
- ☐ A copy of the Instructions on How to Write and Submit Grievances is enclosed for your information.
- ☐ Policy requires that all grievances be submitted through your unit grievance investigator within 15 days of the applicable date.
- ☐ If you are not satisfied with the response you receive from a Step 1 investigation, you have the option of appealing to Step 2 within 15 days of the signature date on the Step 1 form.
- ☐ The grievable time period has expired. Further action from this office is not warranted.
- ☐ These issues have been reviewed in grievance # \_\_\_\_\_ at both steps of the grievance procedure. Further action by this office is not warranted
- ☐ Grievance records do not indicate receipt of the referenced grievance.
- ☐ If you wish to obtain a copy of a Step 1 grievance, contact your Unit Grievance Investigator via I-60 Request to Authority. The records retention for grievances is three years.
- ☐ The original answered Step 1 must be submitted with your Step 2 appeal, thru your unit grievance office.
- ☐ Your Step 1 grievance(s) was properly screened.
- ☐ The requested information is available for review in the Law Library.
- ☐ Contact the law library supervisor concerning your open records request.
- ☐ Grievance # \_\_\_\_\_ is under review at the Step 2 level. All attachments must be included with your grievance at the time of submission to your Unit Grievance Investigator.
- ☐ The use of vulgar names towards staff in your grievance will not be tolerated and may result in disciplinary action being taken by unit administration.
- ☐ This Step 2 appeal is being returned to you without action; however, the unprocessed Step 1 grievance # \_\_\_\_\_ is under review.
- ☐ This Step 2 appeal cannot be processed without the corresponding original, answered Step 1 grievance.
- ☒ You may not submit a Step 2 appeal on Step 1 grievance # 2015003705 that was screened using one of the screening criteria, and returned to you unprocessed.
- ☐ Electronic records indicate that grievance # \_\_\_\_\_ is currently under review.
- ☐ You had the option of correcting screened grievance # \_\_\_\_\_ and resubmitting to the unit grievance investigator within 15 days from the date of the returned grievance.
- ☐ Direct this issue to the Director's Review Committee (DRC).
- ☐ Direct this issue to the Classification and Records Office – Time Credit Section.
- ☐ Direct this issue to the Parole Board.

B



**TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE  
CRIMESTOPPERS**

January 21, 2015

OFFENDER NAME: Hess, Scott    TDCJ# #1841004/MI

The TDCJ Crimestoppers program has received your correspondence. After careful review it has been determined that the information you provided does not fall within the guidelines of the Crimestoppers program. Information of this nature should be submitted to the Office of the Inspector General –Casemanagement section or through the Offender Grievance Process.

C

## INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION

CC:

D

Powe v. Ennis, 177 F.3d 393 (5th Cir.1999). Cf. Lewis v. Washington, 300 F.3d 829 (7th Cir.2002) (when prison officials do not respond to a prisoner's initial grievance, administrative remedies are exhausted).

In a multi-step grievance system, if staff fail to respond within the time limits established in the grievance system's rules, the prisoner must appeal to the next stage. If the prisoner does not receive a response at the final appeal level, and the time for response has passed, the prisoner has exhausted available remedies.



E

4-20-15

TO: PAM KIRKPATRICK

GRIEVANCE OFFICER - MICHAEL UNIT  
T.D.C.J.

FROM: SCOTT HESS, # 1841004 / 18-5-84

RE: STEP ONE AND STEP TWO GRIEVANCES  
FILED 3-1-15 AND 4-20-15

DEAR MA'AM,

DID YOU FIND MY STEP ONE THAT  
WAS PUT IN THE "BOX" ON SUN. 3/1?  
IT WAS WITH A FEW ATTACHMENTS  
AND IN A BUSINESS ENVELOPE.  
LIKEWISE MY STEP 2, FILED  
ON SUNDAY 4-19. (PUT IN THE "BOX")

MA'AM, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, I  
GOT WORD FROM O.I.G. (SEE ATTACHED)  
ON 2-20-15 THAT THEY WERE PASSING  
THE BUCK WITH THIS CASE. I DID IN  
FACT FILE MY STEP ONE WITHIN THE  
15 DAY LIMIT. AS FAR AS I FIGURE,  
IT WAS IGNORED, THUS I JUST SENT  
MY STEP 2 (SEE RULE; ATTACHED) I  
DO UNDERSTAND THAT THIS MAY BE  
TOO MANY ATTACHMENTS FOR YOU. THAT'S  
OK. RESPOND, DON'T RESPOND, EITHER  
WAY. I'LL BE THANKS. A 2-29-15

E

4-20-15

TO: WHOME IT MAY CONCERN  
FROM: SCOTT HESS, TX INMATE 1841004  
RE: HOW TO READ THIS FILE.

TO GUIDE YOU THROUGH THIS FILE  
POINTING OUT, FIRST, THE NECESSARY  
PAGES TO REPORT FALSIFIED COURT  
RECORDS, BOTH STATE AND FEDERAL,  
GO TO THE LETTER DATED 12-9-14<sup>A</sup>  
FIRST, AND FOLLOW THE PROMPTS.

THIS FILE IS ORGANIZED BY DATE.

THEN READ THE COURT PAPERS  
DATED 5-13-14... ALONG WITH  
MY REBUTTLE ON 3-21-15.

THIS SHOULD SHED LIGHT ON THE  
TRULY COURIOUS.

THEN READ FROM FRONT TO BACK,  
SLOWLY AND CAREFULLY TO GET THE  
ENTIRE PICTURE OF THIS GREAT  
INJUSTICE AGAINST ME AND MY  
FAMILY. I WILL NOT REST UNTILL  
THE CULPRITS ARE HELD ACCOUNTABLE  
BY LAW! THANK YOU.

SINCERELY, Scott W. Hess

①

TO: WHOME IT MAY CONCERN

12-9-14

FROM: SCOTT W. HESS - TX INMATE 1841004

RE: TAMPERING OF STATE RECORDS, FALSIFYING  
STATE AND FEDERAL DOCUMENTS.

THESE ARE SERIOUS CRIMES OF WHICH I  
AM VICTIM. HERE-IN, I'LL DO MY BEST TO  
ACCURATELY REPORT THE INFORMATION FOUND  
IN MY "LEGAL FILE" WHICH I HAVE SENT  
YOU.

FROM THE VERY FIRST PAGE OF MY FILE,  
ORGANIZED ACCORDING TO DATE, IT IS  
EVIDENT THAT STATE RECORDS HAVE  
BEEN TAMPERED WITH. I HAVE SENT  
YOU MY COMPLETE FILE (COPY) SO YOU  
GET A COMPLETE GRASP OF THE FULL  
SCOPE OF THESE INJUSTICES AND THE  
REASON THE STATE WOULD FALSIFY RECORDS.  
I PRAY FOR YOUR PATIENCE IN READING  
THE COMPLETE FILE, IN ORDER.

ON PAGE ONE - THE FIRST 3 PAGES ARE  
THE CASE INFORMATION. WE SEE ON PAGE  
ONE THAT THE STATES ATTORNEY IS PETER  
GILMAN AND THE DEFENSE COUNSEL IS  
LUIS SOROLA. I BELIEVE THIS IS ACCURATE.  
THE CHARGE IS RATHER EMBARRASSING,  
BUT I'M INNOCENT, I ASSURE YOU.



(2)

12-9-14

...NOW - JUMP AHEAD TO THE RESPONDANTS MOTION TO STAY (IN MY FEDERAL CASE) DATED 12-12-13. SEE EXHIBIT 'B'. NOTICE ON PAGE 1 THE STATES ATTORNEY'S NAME HAS BEEN CHANGED TO BRIAN ERSKINE. THE 197<sup>TH</sup> DISTRICT COURT IN BROWNSVILLE IS A NICE LITTLE "KANGAROO COURT" WITH A ~~BEEBA~~ REGULAR D.A. AND ~~A~~ 2 REGULAR DEFENSE ATTORNEYS THAT "RAILROAD" CONTESTANT AFTER CONTESTANT IN THE MOST SHAMEFUL COURT ROOM YOU'LL EVER SEE. BY READING THE 11.07 APPLICATION DATED "NOV OF 2013" (WHICH I DID NOT FILE AS OF YET, THE "OUT OF TIME APPEAL") IN ITS ENTIRETY - YOU CAN SEE WHY THE JUDGE WOULD WANT TO PROTECT HER "REGULARS" NOW THAT THE FED IS INVOLVED.

NOW - BACK TO EXHIBIT 'B' (12-12-13). THE EVENTS LISTED SHOW THE APPOINTMENT OF SANTIAGO GALARZA AS DEFENSE COUNSEL ON 7-27-12. NO WHERE IN THE "EVENTS" DO WE SEE THE APPOINTMENT OF MR. SOROLA.

TO GET A FULL APPRECIATION OF THIS MANIPULATION - PLEASE READ "MY STORY" STARTING ON THE 4<sup>TH</sup> PAGE OF MY FILE. (18 PAGES) - NOW... BACK TO EXHIBIT 'B'...

③

12-9-14

... THERE NEVER WAS ANY ~~ARRAIN~~ ARRAIGNMENT ON 8-7-12. HERE MR. GALARZA FAILED TO SHOW - COURT WAS RESCHEDULED. THESE ARE 3 FALSE ~~ENTR~~ ENTRIES TO THE DOCKET.

LIKEWISE THERE WAS NO PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION - IN COURT OR OTHERWISE (ALSO NO COMPETANCY HEARING) ON 9-4 OR 9-5. ANOTHER FALSE ENTRY.

SKIP AHEAD TOWARD THE END OF THE FILE TO A LETTER FROM THE COURT REPORTER (AN HONEST WOMAN) AND THE 3 PAGES FOLLOWING - THE "DOCKET SHEETS". NOTICE THESE FALSE ENTRIES AND (8-28-14) WHO INITIALED THEM. IT APPEARS TO BE THE "COURT ADMINISTRATOR". NEXT SEE THE LETTER FROM THE COURT REPORTER DATED 10-23-14 AND MY LETTERS DATED 10-10 AND 11-12-14.

NEXT I'D LIKE TO DISCUSS THE FIRST FRAUDULENT DOCUMENTS THAT I RECEIVED FROM THE COURT... DATED 9-11-13. I GO INTO THIS IN GREAT DETAIL IN THE "ANNEX" TO MY OUT OF TIME APPEAL (THAT I NEVER FILED YET) TITLED "THE MYSTERY OF THE FRAUDULENT DOCUMENTS". I WONDER WHAT EXACTLY WAS "SCANNED" ON 9-11-13.

(4)

12-9-14

NOW, MAY I DISCUSS THE FALSIFIED FEDERAL DOCUMENTS. THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN BROWNSVILLE HAS BEEN "NOBLE" HERE IN THE END... "MAJISTRATE MORGAN" WITHDREW FROM MY CASE. ALLOW ME TO EXPLAIN... (5-2-14)

I FILED MY "2254", SEE LETTER DATED 10-11-13. NOTICE JUDGE MORGAN IS LISTED AS THE MAJISTRATE. I NEVER AGREED TO HAVE MY CASE HEARD BY A MAJISTRATE. THEN NOTICE THE ORDER DATED 10-16-14. (AND THE STATE STILL HAS NOT SURRENDERED ANY RECORDS.) SEE THE LETTER TO MAJISTRATE MORGAN DATED 4-24-14. FOLLOW ALONG IN THIS LETTER TO THE ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS. MAJISTRATE MORGAN HAS COMMITTED MORE THAN ONE "FEDERAL OFFENSE." THIS IS THE FIRST CHANCE I'VE HAD TO REPORT THIS.

ALSO IN QUESTION IN THE FEDERAL RECORDS ARE THE MOTIVES OF JUDGE HILDA TABLE AND "RAMOS" UP IN CORPUS CHRISTIE... SEE DOCUMENTS DATED 12-24-13, 1-9-14, 1-19, 1-21, 1-22, 1-30, 3-31, 4, 24.

ALSO...

12-9-14

5  
... IN QUESTION IS WHETHER OR NOT  
THE ASSUTANT ~~THE~~ ATTORNEY GENERAL  
JOHN MEADOR HAS BROKEN THE  
LAW BY FALSIFYING MUCH OF THE  
MOTION DATED 4-4-14. THIS REALLY  
NEEDS TO BE TAKEN IN WITH THE  
CONTEXT OF THE ENTIRE FILE.  
(SEE MY REBUTTLE : 7-29-14)

ID LIKE TO ADD... PLEASE VIEW  
THE LETTER FROM THE COUNTY  
CLERK DATED 9-20-13... AND THE  
LETTER DATED 12-12-13 (EXIBIT 'A')  
WHO IS THIS CLERK?

THIS IS ALL I FEEL MUST BE REPORTED  
TO LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS AT  
THIS TIME BY ME. AGAIN THIS IS  
THE FIRST CHANCE I'VE HAD TO  
REPORT THESE CRIMES. FAILURE TO  
REPORT A KNOWN CRIME IS A CRIME.  
PLEASE REMEMBER THAT. THANK YOU.

—RESPECTFULLY

Scott W. Hess

ANNEX



5-22-15

STATEMENT UPDATE  
SUPPLEMENTAL.

ON 5-18-15 I RECEIVED A COPY OF MY STEP 2 GRIEVANCE BACK FROM THE GRIEVANCE OFFICE HERE AT THE MICHAEL UNIT. (AND 2 ATTACHMENTS). (SEE MY ATTACHMENT 'G')

AS YOU CAN SEE BY READING MY STEP 2 THAT I SENT ON 4-20-15... THE GRIEVANCE OFFICE IS STILL GIVING ME THE PREVERBIAL "RUN AROUND". NOW THEY CLAIM THEY DID RECEIVE MY STEP ONE, THAT THERE WAS SOMETHING WRONG WITH IT, AND IT WAS RETURNED TO ME. THIS CANNOT BE TRUE, AS THEY ANSWERED MY I-60 THAT I SENT ON 4-17 SAYING THAT MY STEP ONE WAS NEVER RECEIVED. HOWEVER I CLAIM THAT I DID IN FACT SEND IT IN ON 3-1-15!

I DONT HAVE TIME TO PLAY GAMES ANYMORE WITH THIS LOCAL GRIEVANCE OFFICE. BEFORE I FILE A "1983" LAWSUITE AGAINST T.D.C.J., I WANT TO GIVE THE GRIEVANCE OFFICE "IN HUNTSVILLE" A FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND PROPERLY AND MAKE CERTAIN THAT I HAVE IN FACT EXHAUSTED MY REMEDIES WITH T.D.C.J. OFFENDER GRIEVANCE PROCESS. THANK YOU.

Scott W. Hens

A handwritten signature consisting of a large, stylized capital letter 'G' with a horizontal line drawn underneath it.

ATT. PAM KIRPATRICK

4-20-14



Texas Department of Criminal Justice

## STEP 2

OFFENDER  
GRIEVANCE FORM

Offender Name: SCOTT HESS TDCJ# 1841004  
 Unit: MICHAEL Housing Assignment: 18-J-84  
 Unit where incident occurred: MICHAEL

## OFFICE USE ONLY

Grievance #: \_\_\_\_\_  
 UGI Recd Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 HQ Recd Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date Due: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Grievance Code: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Investigator ID#: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Extension Date: \_\_\_\_\_

You must attach the completed Step 1 Grievance that has been signed by the Warden for your Step 2 appeal to be accepted. You may not appeal to Step 2 with a Step 1 that has been returned unprocessed.

Give reason for appeal (Be Specific). I am dissatisfied with the response at Step 1 because...

I FILED A STEP ONE GRIEVANCE MARCH 1<sup>ST</sup> 2015  
 I WAITED 40 DAYS AND SENT AN I-60 IN  
 ASKING WHAT HAPPENED TO MY GRIEVANCE. I  
 GOT BACK THE I-60 THIS PAST FRIDAY ~~4-17~~  
 4-17 CLAIMING THAT MY STEP ONE WAS NOT  
 RECEIVED. I HAVE SOME FALSIFIED COURT  
 DOCUMENTS THAT NEED TO BE COPIED AND  
 PROPERLY REPORTED TO AUTHORITIES. I'VE BEEN  
 GETTING THE RUN AROUND FROM CRIME  
 STOPPERS IN HUNTSVILLE... O.I.G. AND NOW  
 THE GRIEVANCE OFFICE (AGAIN) MAY I STATE  
 FOR THE RECORD, MS. KIRPATRICK, THAT  
 KNOWLEDGE OF A FELONY AND FAILURE TO  
 REPORT IT PROPERLY AND TIMELY IS ALSO  
 A FELONY... LIKE AN "ACCOMPLICE", OR "AIDING  
 AND ABEDDING". IT'S A FELONY TO FALSIFY  
 STATE DOCUMENTS - AND IT IS A "FEDERAL  
 OFFENSE" TO ~~FALSIFY~~ FALSIFY FEDERAL COURT  
 DOCUMENTS. I HAVE BOTH. NOW - I WANT  
 YOU TO STOP QUOTING ~~POLICY~~ POLICY AND  
 HELP ME REPORT THESE CRIMES.

APR 27 2015

Appendix G

**Texas Department of Criminal Justice**  
**INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO WRITE AND SUBMIT GRIEVANCES**

---

1. *Grievance forms are available from the law library, housing area, shift supervisors, or by contacting the unit grievance office.* After completely filling out the form, place it in the grievance box yourself or give it to the grievance investigator on your unit.
2. An attempt to informally resolve your problem must be made before filing a grievance. *Informal resolution* is defined as any attempt to solve the issue at hand and must be noted on the Step 1 grievance form (I-127). You have 15 days from the date of the alleged incident or occurrence of the issue presented in which to complete the Step 1 grievance form and forward it to the Unit Grievance Investigator (UGI). The Step 1 process may take up to 40 days from the date the unit grievance office receives the Step 1 form to respond. If you are not satisfied with the Step 1 response, you may appeal the Step 1 decision by filing a Step 2 (I-128). You have 15 days from the date of the Step 1 signature to submit the Step 2 to the grievance investigator on the unit. The Step 2 process may take up to 35 days to provide you a written response. *Present only one issue per grievance and do not repeatedly grieve the same issue.*
3. *Additional time* may be required in order to conduct an investigation at either Step 1 or Step 2 and in either case; you will be *notified of the extension in writing*. Also, you may request that your grievance be withdrawn at any time during the process and the unit grievance investigator can help you with these procedures.
4. *Complete your grievance in dark ink or type if possible. If you need any assistance filing a grievance or understanding a response contact your unit grievance investigator.*
5. *The following issues are grievable through the Offender Grievance Procedure.* Remember that you may only file a grievance on issues that PERSONALLY APPLY TO YOU.
  - The interpretation or application of TDCJ policies, rules, regulations, and procedures.
  - The actions of an employee or another offender, including denial of access to the grievance procedure.
  - Any reprisal against you for the good faith use of the grievance procedure or Access to Courts;
  - The loss or damage of authorized offender property possessed by persons in the physical custody of the Agency, for which the Agency or its employees, through negligence, are the proximate cause of any damage or loss.
  - Matters relating to conditions of care or supervision within the authority of the TDCJ, for which a remedy is available.
6. *You may not grieve:*
  - State or Federal Court decisions, laws and/or regulations;
  - Parole decisions;
  - Time-served credit disputes (these issues should be directed to the Classification and Records, Time Section);
  - Matters for which other appeal mechanisms exist;
  - Any matter beyond the control of the Agency to correct.
7. *Grievances that do not meet the following established screening criteria will be returned to you unprocessed;* however, most grievances may be corrected and resubmitted within 15 days from the signature date on the returned grievance.
  - Grievable time period has expired. (Step 1 grievances must be submitted within 15 days from the date of incident and Step 2 Appeals must be submitted within 15 days from the date of the signature on the Step 1.)
  - Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. (All grievances received in the grievance office will be reviewed; however, only One grievance will be processed every Seven days [with the exception of disciplinary, specialty, and emergency grievances.]
  - Originals not submitted. (Carbon copies are not considered originals even if they have an original signature. The original answered Step 1 must be submitted with a Step 2 Appeal.)
  - Inappropriate/excessive attachments. (Your grievance must be stated on one form and in the space provided. Attach only official documents such as I-60's, sick call requests, property papers, etc. that support your claim.)
  - No documented attempt at informal resolution. (You are required to attempt to resolve issues with a staff member prior to filing a grievance. Remember, the attempt must be documented in the space provided on the I-127 form.)
  - No requested relief is stated. (The specific action required to resolve the complaint must be clearly stated in the space provided.)
  - Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language directed at an individual.
  - The issue presented is not grievable. (Refer to #6 above.)
  - The text is illegible/incomprehensible. (Write your grievance so that it can be read and understood by anyone.)
  - Inappropriate. (You may not ask for monetary damages or any form of disciplinary action against staff.)
- *Do not use a grievance form to comment on the effectiveness and credibility of the grievance procedure; instead, submit a letter or I-60 to the Administrator of the Offender Grievance Program.*

MAY 15 2015

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE  
Inter-Office Communication  
Administrative Review and Risk Management  
Offender Grievance

TO: \_\_\_\_\_ HESS, SCOTT \_\_\_\_\_

TDCJ# \_\_\_\_\_ 1841004 \_\_\_\_\_

UNIT: \_\_\_\_\_ MI \_\_\_\_\_

FROM: \_\_\_\_\_ Central Grievance Office \_\_\_\_\_

SUBJECT: Improperly Submitted Grievance

Your documents received in this office have been reviewed and a response is indicated below. Contact the warden, major, chief of classification or a security officer for issues you deem as an emergency. **If you need additional information or assistance, you may contact the Unit Grievance Investigator at your unit.**

- ☐ This Step 2 appeal cannot be processed without the corresponding original, answered Step 1 grievance. The original, answered Step 1 must be attached to the Step 2 at the time of submission to your **Unit Grievance Investigator**. Be advised; it is not permissible to mail your grievances to offices outside of your unit for processing. All grievances are to be submitted to your **Unit Grievance Investigator**. Submitting your grievances incorrectly may result in your grievable time to expire.
- ☐ Electronic records indicate that grievance # -----is currently under review regarding these allegations. Please allow time for the grievance staff to process & complete these complaints.
- ☐ These issues have been reviewed at both steps of the grievance procedure. No other administrative remedies are available to you regarding the issue. Further action by this office is not warranted
- ☐ If you are not satisfied with the response you receive from a Step 1 investigation, you have the option of appealing to Step 2 within 15 days of the signature date on the Step 1 form. Policy requires that **all** grievances be submitted through your **Unit Grievance Investigator**.
- ☐ Policy requires that **all** grievances be submitted through your **Unit Grievance Investigator** within 15 days of the applicable date. It is not permissible to mail your grievances directly to the Central Grievance Office. Submitting your grievances incorrectly may result in your grievable time to expire.
- ☒ You may not submit a Step 2 appeal on a Step 1 grievance that was screened using one of the screening criteria, and returned to you unprocessed. You had the option of correcting the screened grievance and resubmitting to the unit grievance investigator within 15 days from the date of the returned grievance. If you feel that your Step 1 grievance has been screened in error, you may submit a new Step 1 grievance to the Unit Grievance Investigator.
- ☐ This Step 2 appeal is being returned to you without action; however, the unprocessed Step 1 grievance is under review.
- ☐ This issue is currently under review at Step 2 and being addressed.
- ☐ This Step 2 appeal cannot be processed without the corresponding original, answered Step 1 grievance. Policy requires that **all** grievances be submitted through your **Unit Grievance Investigator** within 15 days of the applicable date. It is not permissible to mail your grievances directly to the Central Grievance Office. Submitting your grievances incorrectly may result in your grievable time to expire.
- ☐ This issue is currently being addressed in grievance # \_\_\_\_\_. If you are not satisfied with the Step 1 response, at that time you may appeal the Step 1 decision by filing a Step 2 (I-128) through your **Unit Grievance Investigator** within 15 days of the applicable date.
- ☐ A copy of the Instructions on How to Write and Submit Grievances is enclosed for your information.